

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FIVE

In re ARMANI T., et al., Persons Coming
Under the Juvenile Court Law.

B170210
(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. CK47635)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
FAMILY SERVICES,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

SIDNEY T., et al.,

Defendants and Appellants.

APPEAL from an order of the Superior Court for Los Angeles County, Margaret S. Henry, Judge. Affirmed.

Sharon S. Rollo, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, and Sidney T., in propria persona, for Defendant and Appellant Sidney T.

Andre F. F. Toscano, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant Keisha T.

No appearance on behalf of Plaintiff and Respondent.

Sidney T. and Keisha T., the father and mother, respectively, of Armani T. and Shaniaya T., appeal from the September 23, 2003 order terminating their parental rights under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.26. We affirm the order.

We appointed counsel to represent father and mother in this appeal. Counsel for father and counsel for mother each filed a letter in accordance with *In re Sade C.* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 952, 959, in which each counsel stated that, after examining the record, he or she was unable to file a brief on the merits on his or her client's behalf. On November 18, 2003, we advised father that he had 30 days in which to personally submit any contentions or arguments he wished us to consider. On November 19, 2003, we advised mother that she had 30 days in which to personally submit any contentions or arguments she wished us to consider.

Mother did not submit any contentions or arguments to this court. Father filed a letter on December 12, 2003 in which he asked this court to return the children to the custody of their mother (father currently is incarcerated, and is not expected to be released from prison until 2005). However, father did not present any legal argument or factual basis that would permit this court to reverse the juvenile court's order terminating either parent's parental rights.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that father's and mother's appellate attorneys have fully complied with their responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*In re Sade C.*, *supra*, 13 Cal.4th at p. 959.)

The order terminating father's and mother's parental rights is affirmed.
NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

MOSK, J.

We concur:

GRIGNON, Acting P.J.

ARMSTRONG, J.